

Permanent Nerve Damage From Inferior Alveolar Nerve Blocks — An Update to Include Articaine

M. ANTHONY POGREL, DDS, MD

ABSTRACT Permanent nerve involvement following inferior alveolar nerve block may occur from 1 in 20,000 to 850,000 patients with little information on local anesthetic used. Patients with permanent nerve damage from blocks were recorded. Lidocaine was associated with 35 percent, with articaine causing approximately 30 percent of the cases. Nerve blocks can cause permanent damage to the nerves, independent of the local anesthetic used. Articaine is associated with this phenomenon in proportion to its usage.

AUTHOR

M. Anthony Pogrel,
DDS, MD, FRCS, FACS, is a
professor and chairman,
Department of Oral and
Maxillofacial Surgery,
University of California,
San Francisco, School of
Dentistry.

ermanent involvement of the inferior alveolar and/or lingual nerve following an inferior alveolar nerve block has been reported.1-7 There are a relatively small number of studies, and the reported incidence varies from a high of 1 in 20,000 blocks to a low of 1 in 850,000 blocks. 1,6 Several studies do not indicate whether the involvement was temporary or permanent. Studies appear to show that when nerve damage occurs, the lingual nerve is affected approximately twice as frequently as the inferior alveolar nerve, and one suggested reason for this may be the fascicular pattern in the region where the injection is given.6,8 It also appears that about half the patients feel an "electric-shock sensation" on injection, but approximately half do not.6 The phenomenon has been noted with every local anesthetic used in dentistry, but it has been suggested there may be a higher incidence

with prilocaine and articaine. 7.9,10 Although the reason for this is unknown, suggestions have been made that it may be because they are 4 percent solutions, whereas the other local anesthetics are at lower concentrations.9 With the exception of isolated case reports, the major information on a possibly higher incidence with articaine in particular comes from the studies of Haas, which are from cases reported to the major dental malpractice carrier in Ontario, Canada, from the early 1980s (when articaine was approved in Canada) until the present day. 9,11-14 In order to further elucidate these findings, the following study was carried out.

Materials and Methods

The Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at the University of California, San Francisco, has become known as a tertiary referral center for injuries to the inferior alveolar and lingual nerves in general, and in particular injuries caused by inferior alveolar nerve blocks. Most dental practitioners are aware of these problems and refer patients for evaluation. This study covers all patients referred from Jan. 1, 2003, to Dec. 31, 2005. All patients still had neurological symptoms nine months after injection and were considered permanent.

Results

A total of 57 patients were referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at the University of California, San Francisco, with a diagnosis of damage to the inferior alveolar and/or lingual nerve that could only have resulted from an inferior alveolar nerve block. None of these patients underwent surgical or other procedures that could have been responsible for the nerve involvement. The symptoms included paresthesias and dysesthesias, varying from mild to severe, but there were no cases of total anesthesia. The distribution of local anesthetics used is shown in TABLE 1, coupled with an appropriate percentage of U.S. national sales.15

Articaine, as the sole local anesthetic, is responsible for about 29.8 percent of the total. One patient received articaine as well as lidocaine, therefore it cannot be determined which agent was associated with the nerve involvement.

Discussion

In 2001, after its introduction, articaine was felt to have captured around 15 percent of the U.S. dental local anesthetic market. In 2002, it had approximately 22 percent of the market, and in 2003, it reached approximately 25 percent of the market and has stayed around that level since then. Current estimated percentage sales figures for all local anesthetics are shown in TABLE 1. 15

TABLE 1

Number of Cases of Nerve Damage With Percentage U.S. National Sales Figures

Anesthetic	# of cases	Approximate % sales (total 260 million cartridges/year)
Lidocaine alone	20 (35%)	(54%)
Prilocaine alone	17 (29.8%)	(6%)
Articaine alone	17 (29.8%)	(25%)
Articaine plus lidocaine	1 (1.75%)	
Lidocaine plus prilocaine	1 (1.75%)	
Bupivacaine	1 (1.75%)	
Mepivacaine	0 (0%)	(15%)

Utilizing figures obtained from dentists in Northern California in previous studies, it is estimated there could be between 4.5 (incidence 1 in 850,000) and 190 (incidence of 1 in 20,000) cases of permanent nerve involvement per year from local anesthetic injections in Northern California with a population of around 10.5 million.6 It is extrapolated that an incidence of 1 in 20,000 inferior alveolar nerve blocks causing permanent nerve damage may be accurate, and therefore the annual occurrences may be as high as 190 cases per year in Northern California. Over a three-year period we have seen approximately 19 patients per year with this problem, which may be around 10 percent of all the cases occurring in Northern California each year. When these figures are compared with studies such as that of Hass, differences become very apparent.9,10 In his study, there were virtually no cases caused by lidocaine, whereas in all of our studies. lidocaine has caused the most individual cases. This is extremely difficult to explain, since we have been examining patients, questioning their dentists, and examining medical records, and are comfortable the results are accurate.

We chose not to use data from

2000, 2001, and 2002 since with the introduction of articaine in 2000, usage was variable. For 2003-2005, sales figures and usage appear more constant. We are aware of discussion in dental circles as to the use of articaine for inferior alveolar nerve blocks, and are aware of recommendations suggesting that it not be used for inferior alveolar nerve blocks. 16-18

This was the predominant reason for submitting this paper at this time, since we did not want to find that although sales figures remained high for articaine. it was not being used for inferior alveolar nerve blocks, since this would obviously distort our numbers. The authors are confident this phenomenon has not taken place to any appreciable extent in Northern California by the end of 2005. Therefore, using our previous assumption that approximately half of all local anesthetic used is for inferior alveolar nerve blocks, then on the figures we have generated from our clinic we do not see disproportionate nerve involvement from articaine.6 For prilocaine, it does appear to be causing approximately 29.8 percent of cases with sales of about 6 percent of all dental local anesthetics, which may indicate a higher incidence.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ehrenfeld M, Cornelius CP, et al, Nerve injuries following nerve blocking in the pterygomandibular space. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z 47(1):36-9, 1992
- 2. Harn SD, Durham TM, Incidence of lingual nerve trauma and postinjection complications in conventional mandibular block anesthesia. J Am Dent Assoc 121(4):519-23, 1990.
- 3. Krafft TC, Hickel R, Clinical investigation into the incidence of direct damage to the lingual nerve caused by local anaesthesia. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 22(5):294-6, 1994.
- 4. Pogrel MA, Bryan J, Regezi J, Nerve damage associated with inferior alveolar nerve blocks. J Am Dent Assoc 126(8):1150-5, 1995. 5. Pogrel MA, Trigeminal nerve chemical neurotrauma from injectable materials. Oral Moxillofac Surg Clin N Am 13:247-
- 6. Pogrel MA, Thamby S, Permanent nerve involvement resulting from inferior alveolar nerve blocks. J Am Dent Assoc 131(7):901-7, 2000.
- 7. Hillerup S, Jensen R, Nerve injury caused by mandibular block analgesia. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 35(5):437-43, 2006.

- 8. Pogrel MA, Schmidt BL, et al, Lingual nerve damage due to inferior alveolar nerve blocks: a possible explanation. J Am Dent Assoc 134(2):195-9, 2003.
- 9. Haas DA, Lennon D, A 21-year retrospective study of reports of paresthesia following local anesthetic administration. J Can Dent Assoc 61(4):319-20, 23-6, 29-30, 1995.
- 10. Haas DA, Lennon D, Local anesthetic use by dentists in Ontario. J Can Dent Assoc 61(4):297-304, 1995.
- 11. Meechan JG, Re: Prolonged paraesthesia following inferior alveolar nerve block using articaine. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 41(3):201, 2003.
- 12. Pedlar J. Prolonged paraesthesia. Br Dent J 195(3):119, 2003. 13. Pedlar J, Re: Prolonged paraesthesia following inferior alveolar nerve block using articaine. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 41(3):202, 2003.
- 14. van Eeden SP, Patel MF, Re: Prolonged paraesthesia following inferior alveolar nerve block using articaine. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 40(6):519-20, 2002.
- 15. Steven T. Merrick, vice president of marketing, Septodont, oral communication, September 2006.

- 16. Malamed SF, Nerve injury caused by mandibular block analgesia. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 35(9):876-7; author reply 78, 2006.
- 17. Hillerup S, Jensen R, Nerve injury caused by mandibular block analgesia. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 35(9):878, 2006. 18. Yagiela JA, Recent developments in local anesthesia and oral sedation. Compend Contin Educ Dent 25(9):697-706; quiz 08, 2004.
- TO REQUEST A PRINTED COPY OF THIS ARTICLE, PLEASE CONTACT M. Anthony Pogrel, DDS, MD, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, School of Dentistry, Box 0440, Room C-522, 521 Parnassus Ave., San Francisco, CA 94143-0440.

AFRAID OF NITI ROTARY FILE BREAKAGE?







"I am, that's why I simply attach a file to the "EndoHandle" and prepare a perfect path every time, prior to rotary instrumentation!"

Steven S. Larsen, D.D.S., M.S., Endodontist



- · Prepare a clean, clear, smooth path to the apex without hand fatigue!
- Easy access to Molars!
- · Explorers, negotiates, removes tissue.
- · No file separation, no ledging, no perforation.









Easy Access • Better Glide Path • Faster Prep

Logan Dental Toll Free: 1-866-952-0519 • Phone: 1-435-752-0519 • www.endohandle.com

See the "New EndoHandle - FLARE" File at the CDA, Anaheim. Booth 1183